SEARCHING FOR LOCAL CUBIC-ORDER NON-GAUSSIANITY WITH GALAXY CLUSTERING

Vincent Desjacques ITP Zurich with: Nico Hamaus (Zurich), Uros Seljak (Berkeley/Zurich) Horiba 2010 cosmology conference, Tokyo, 27/09

ARE PRIMORDIAL FLUCTUATIONS (NON-)GAUSSIAN ?

- Single field slow roll inflation gives thus far the best fit to cosmic microwave background (CMB) and large scale structure (LSS) data. It predicts a negligible level of primordial non-Gaussianity (NG)
- Any evidence for or against primordial NG would strongly constrain mechanisms for the generation of primordial curvature perturbations.

cf. Leonardo Senatore's talk on Tuesday

OUTLINE

- Primordial NG of the local cubic type
- Scale-dependent bias of dark matter haloes
- Constraints on a cubic order contribution
- Optimal weighting schemes of halo/mass density fields

Desjacques V., Seljak U., 2010, PRD, 81, 3006 (arXiv:0907.2257)

Seljak U., Hamaus N., Desjacques V., 2009, PRL, 103, 1303 (arXiv:0904.2963)

Hamaus N., Seljak U., Desjacques V., in progress

PRIMORDIAL NG OF THE LOCAL CUBIC TYPE

Local mapping: $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\rm NL}\phi(\mathbf{x})^2 + g_{\rm NL}\phi(\mathbf{x})^3$ ϕ Gaussian, $|\phi| \sim 10^{-5}$, $P_{\phi}(k) k^{n_s - 4}$ $\mathcal{O}(f_{\rm NL}) \sim \mathcal{O}(q_{\rm NL})$

(Salopek & Bond 1990;...)

In some models, $|f_{\rm NL}| \ll |g_{\rm NL}|$ so that the cubic order contribution dominates 0

Sasaki, Valiviita & Wands (2006); Enqvist & Takahashi (2008); Huang & Wang (2008); Chingangbam & Huang (2009); Ichikawa, Suyama, Takahashi & Yamaguchi (2008); Huang (2008,2009); Byrnes & Tasinato (2009); Lehners & Renaux-Petel (2009);...

Leading contribution to the primordial four-point (trispectrum) function: 0

> $T_{\Phi}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) = 6g_{\rm NL} \left[P_{\phi}(k_1) P_{\phi}(k_2) P_{\phi}(k_3) + (\text{cyclic}) \right]$ $+4f_{\rm NL}^2 \left[P_{\phi}(k_{13}P_{\phi}(k_3)P_{\phi}(k_4) + (11 \text{ perms}) \right]$

PROBES OF PRIMORDIAL NG: CMB AND LSS

• CMB advantage: linearity

$$a_{\ell}^{m} = 4\pi (-i)^{\ell} \int \frac{d^{3}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \Phi(\mathbf{k}) g_{T\ell}(k) Y_{\ell}^{m\star}(\hat{\mathbf{k}})$$

LSS advantage: biasing

$$\delta_{\rm g} = b_1 \delta_{\rm m} + \frac{b_2^2}{2} \delta_{\rm m}^2 + \cdots$$

SCALE-DEPENDENT BIAS IN THE LOCAL f_{NL} MODEL



Dalal, Dore, Huterer & Shirokov (2008); Matarrese & Verde (2008)

Monday, 27 September 2010

TESTING THE THEORY WITH N-BODY SIMULATIONS

- 1024³ particles in a periodic cubic box of size L=1600 Mpc/h (simulations described in Desjacques, Seljak & Iliev 2009; Desjacques & Seljak 2010)
- Several realisations of the following models

 $(f_{\rm NL}, g_{\rm NL}) = (\pm 100, 0)$ $(f_{\rm NL}, g_{\rm NL}) = (0, \pm 10^6)$ $(f_{\rm NL}, g_{\rm NL}) = (\pm 100, -3 \times 10^5)$

- Identify dark matter haloes with a spherical overdensity (SO) finder
- Compute halo and dark matter auto- and cross-power spectrum

HALO MASS FUNCTION

The solid curve (Eq.18) is

$$R(\nu, g_{\rm NL}) = \exp\left[\frac{\nu^4}{4!}\sigma^2 S_4 + \nu^2 \delta \sigma_8\right]$$
$$\times \left\{1 - \frac{\nu^2}{4}\sigma S_4 - \frac{\nu^4}{4!}\frac{d(\sigma^2 S_4)}{d\ln\nu}\right\}$$

There is a first order correction to σ_8 because the simulations have identical primordial scalar amplitude $\delta\sigma_8 \approx 0.015$



SCALE-DEPENDENT BIAS IN g_{NL} MODELS

 The scale-dependent bias contribution can be derived from the statistics of highly overdense regions

$$\xi_{\rm hh}(|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2|) = -1 + \exp\left\{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{\nu^n \sigma^{-n}}{j!(n-j)!}\xi^{(n)}\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_1, & \mathbf{x}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_2\\ j \text{ times} & (n-j) \text{ times} \end{pmatrix}\right\}$$

(Matarrese, Bonometto & Lucchin 1986)

• We find

$$\Delta b(k, g_{\rm NL}) = \Delta b_{\kappa}(k, g_{\rm NL}) + \Delta b_{\rm I}(g_{\rm NL})$$
$$\Delta b_{\kappa}(k, g_{\rm NL}) = \frac{3}{4} \left(g_{\rm NL} S_3^{(1)}(M) \right) \left[b(M) - 1 \right] \delta_c^2 \frac{D(0)}{D(z)} \frac{\Omega_m H_0^2}{k^2 T(k)}$$
$$\underset{\rm skewness}{\overset{|||}{=}} \sim 10^{-4} g_{\rm NL}$$

To match the simulations, we consider $\Delta b = \epsilon_{\kappa} \Delta b_{\kappa} + [\Delta b_{I} + \epsilon_{I}]$



Monday, 27 September 2010



Monday, 27 September 2010

OBSERVATIONAL LIMITS ON g_{NL} FROM LSS

• We can translate the limits on f_{NL} obtained by Slosar et al. (2008) into limits on g_{NL} (assuming $f_{NL}=0$)

 $-3.5 \times 10^5 < g_{\rm NL} < 8.2 \times 10^5$ (95% C.L.)

FORECAST FOR DETECTION OF G_{NL}

• Signal-to-noise for a survey of volume *V* centred at redshift *z*:

$$\left(\frac{S}{N}\right)^2 \approx 8.1 \times 10^{-13} g_{\rm NL}^2 \epsilon_\kappa^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{b}\right)^2 D(z)^{-4} \left(\frac{S_3^{(1)}}{10^{-4}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{V}{h^{-3} {\rm Gpc}^3}\right)^{4/3}$$

• For a single population of tracers with bias b(M)=2, the miminum g_{NL} detectable is

 $\sim 4 \times 10^5$ (BOSS) $\sim 2 \times 10^4$ (EUCLID)

gnl FROM THE CMB TRISPECTRUM

• g_{NL}φ³ generates a connected CMB trispectrum at first order

$$\left\langle a_{\ell_1}^{m_1} a_{\ell_2}^{m_2} a_{\ell_3}^{m_3} a_{\ell_4}^{m_4} \right\rangle = \sum_{L,M} (-1)^M \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & L \\ m_1 & m_2 & -M \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \ell_3 & \ell_4 & L \\ m_3 & m_4 & M \end{array} \right) \left[Q_{\ell_3 \ell_4}^{\ell_1 \ell_2}(L) + T_{\ell_3 \ell_4}^{\ell_1 \ell_2}(L) \right]$$



Hu (2002)

• In the Sachs-Wolfe approximation and for cosmic variance dominated errors:

$$\left(\frac{S}{N}\right)^2 \simeq 3.15 \times 10^{-18} g_{\rm NL}^2 \left(\frac{A_s}{10^{-9}}\right)^2 \ell_{\rm max}^{2.6}$$

• No significant detection of a trispectrum would imply

 $|g_{\rm NL}| \le 2 \times 10^5 \quad (WMAP)$ $|g_{\rm NL}| \le 1.3 \times 10^4 \quad (PLANCK)$

TIGHTENING CONSTRAINTS WITH OPTIMAL WEIGHTING

- A measurement of the galaxy power spectrum implies two sources of errors: cosmic variance (limited number of modes accessible) and shot noise (discreteness of the tracers)
- Taking the ratio of measurements obtained with two different tracer populations can take out most of cosmic variance (Seljak 2008), but there are residual fluctuations induced by discrete sampling
- Mass weighting can considerably reduce the shot noise level (Seljak, Hamaus & Desjacques 2009)

Idealized case: we know the halo and dark matter distributions



- Error bars go down by a factor of ~ 5
- Note also that central values are lower that input $f_{NL}=\pm 100$

CONCLUSION

- The statistics of thresholded regions can be used to predict the scale-dependent bias for local cubic primordial NG
- In contrast to models with the quadratic coupling $f_{NL}\phi^2$, the theory strongly overestimates the effect for the cubic coupling $g_{NL}\phi^3$
- The power spectrum of SDSS quasars sets limits on g_{NL},

 $-3.5 \times 10^5 < g_{\rm NL} < 8.2 \times 10^5$ (95% C.L.)

- Future galaxy surveys will improve these limits by a factor of 10-100
- Optimal weighting of several tracers populations may further improve the constraints by a factor of a few