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## Outline

- Observables in large-scale structure surveys
- Historical perspectives and scientific objectives
- A self-gravitating expanding dust fluid, evolution equations
- Results from standard perturbation theory calculations
- A field theory reformulation of the evolution equations
- The closure and time-flow equations
- The RPT reformulation of the perturbative series
- Insights into higher order propagators
- Using large-scale structure observations to test gravity


Power spectra


## Which observables ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\delta_{x}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}\right) \delta_{x}\left(\mathbf{k}_{2}\right) \delta_{x}\left(\mathbf{k}_{3}\right)\right\rangle= \\
& (2 \pi)^{3} \delta_{\text {Dirac }}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}+\mathbf{k}_{2}+\mathbf{k}_{3}\right) B_{x}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}, \mathbf{k}_{3}\right) \\
& \text { and Bispectra }
\end{aligned}
$$



What for?

- Dark energy equation of state
- Neutrino mass
- Primordial NG (f f parameters)
- Testing gravity


## Two regimes of interest

- accurate position measurements of the BAO at very large scales
- accurate description of the (poly)spectra when it enters the quasilinear regime




Figure 4. Power spectra at redshift $z=1$ (divided by a smooth one). The continuous line is the result of the present paper, compared with linear theory (dotted), 1-loop PT (dash-dotted), the halo approach of ref. [20] (dashed). The dots with error bars are taken from the $N$-body simulationd of ref. [10]. The background cosmology is a spatially flat $\Lambda \mathrm{CDM}$ model with $\Omega_{\Lambda}^{0}=0.73, \Omega_{b}^{0}=0.043, h=0.7, n_{s}=1, \sigma_{8}=0.8$.

## A self-gravitating expanding dust fluid

## A self-gravitating expanding dust fluid

- Data show that large-scale structure has formed from small density inhomogeneities since time of matter dominated universe with a dominant cold dark matter component

The Vlasov equation (collisionless Boltzmann equation) - $f(x, p)$ is the phase space density distribution - are fully nonlinear.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{m a^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)-m \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)=0 \\
\Delta \Phi(\mathbf{x})=\frac{4 \pi G m}{a}\left(\int f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{p}-\bar{n}\right)
\end{array}
$$

This is what N -body codes aim at simulating...
The rules of the game: single flow equations

Peebles 1980; Fry 1984 FB, Colombi, Gaztañaga, Scoccimarro, Phys. Rep. 2002

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta(\mathrm{x}, t)+\frac{1}{a} \nabla_{i} \cdot\left[(1+\delta(\mathrm{x}, t)) \mathbf{u}_{i}(\mathrm{x}, t)\right] & =0 \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{u}_{i}(\mathrm{x}, t)+\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \mathbf{u}_{i}(\mathrm{x}, t)+\frac{1}{a} \mathbf{u}_{j}(\mathrm{x}, t) \mathbf{u}_{i, j}(\mathrm{x}, t) & =-\frac{1}{a} \nabla_{i} \Phi(\mathrm{x}, t) \\
\nabla^{2} \Phi(\mathrm{x}, t)-4 \pi G \bar{\rho}(t) a^{2} \delta(\mathrm{x}, t) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

+ expansion with respect to initial density fields

$$
\delta(\mathbf{x}, t)=\delta^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\ldots
$$

- Motion equations in Fourier space in the single flow approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{H} \dot{\delta}(k, t)+\theta(k, t)=-\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{k}_{1} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathbf{k}_{2} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_{1}-\mathbf{k}_{2}\right) \\
& \times \alpha\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}\right) \delta\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, t\right) \theta\left(\mathbf{k}_{2}, t\right) \\
& \frac{1}{H} \dot{\theta}(k, t)+\left(2+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right) \theta(k, t)+\frac{3}{2} \Omega_{m} \delta_{\mathrm{m}}(k, t)=-\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{k}_{1} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathbf{k}_{2} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_{1}-\mathbf{k}_{2}\right) \\
& \times \beta\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}\right) \theta\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}\right) \theta\left(\mathbf{k}_{2}\right) \\
& \alpha\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}\right)=\frac{\mathbf{k}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1}}{k_{1}^{2}}=1+\frac{\mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2}}{k_{1}^{2}} \quad \beta\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}\right)=\frac{\mathbf{k}_{12}^{2}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2}\right)}{2 k_{1}^{2} k_{2}^{2}}=\frac{\left(\mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2}\right)^{2}}{k_{1}^{2} k_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2}}{2 k_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2}}{2 k_{2}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- linear order = growth rate of structure
- higher order terms = mode couplings
- equations can be solved to any arbitrary order

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}) & =\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{k}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathbf{k}_{n} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_{1 \ldots n}\right) \delta^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}\right) \ldots \delta^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{k}_{n}\right) F_{n}^{(s)}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{k}_{n}\right) \\
\frac{\theta^{(n)}(\mathbf{k})}{f} & =\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{k}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathbf{k}_{n} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_{1 \ldots n}\right) \delta^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}\right) \ldots \delta^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{k}_{n}\right) G_{n}^{(s)}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{k}_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$f \equiv \frac{\mathrm{~d} \log D_{+}}{\mathrm{d} \log a} \quad . .$. this is the reduced velocity divergence

- The kernels can be computed recursively...
- This is here the general form taken by the second order density field
$F_{2}^{(s)}=\left(\frac{3 \nu_{2}}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2}}{k_{2}^{2}}+\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3 \nu_{2}}{4}\right) \frac{\left(\mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2}\right)^{2}}{k_{1}^{2} k_{2}^{2}}$
This shape is expected (for CDM) irrespectively of background evolution, neutrino mass, etc...


Einstein-de Sitter case
Flat universe: $\quad \nu_{2}=\frac{4}{3}+\frac{2}{7} \Omega_{m}^{-1 / 143}$

## - Related observables (cosmic shear, redshift galaxy gatalogues)

Observations are closely related (through projections, shape integration) to the density and the reduced velocity divergence power spectra and bispectra

- inflation provides us with a compelling framework for the origin of such density fluctuations with specific statistics (Gaussian) and spectrum (nearly scale invariant before horizon crossing)
- A lot is known at tree order

The tree order bispectra

$$
B_{\delta}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}, \mathbf{k}_{2}\right)=\left\langle\delta^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}\right) \delta^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{k}_{2}\right) \delta^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{k}_{3}\right)\right\rangle+\operatorname{sym} .=F_{2}^{(s)}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}\right) P\left(k_{1}\right) P\left(k_{2}\right)+\operatorname{sym} .
$$



Fig. 3


Fig. 4

Comparisons of poly-spectra (collapsed geometry) with N -body simulations...

... and in observations


From PSCz catalogue, Feldman et al. '0 1

- But things get not as nice when one wants to include loops



Fig. 13. The power spectrum for $n=-2$ scale-free initial conditions. Symbols denote measurements in numerical simulations from [560]. Lines denote linear PT, one-loop PT [Eq. (169)] and the Zel'dovich Approximation results [Eq. (181)], as labeled.

Not necessarily the best way to expand...

Fig. 2


# A field theory reformulation 

Scoccimarro ‘97

## A reformulation of the theory with a FT like approach

Scoccimarro ‘97

$$
\Phi_{a}(\mathbf{k}, \eta)=g_{a b}(\eta) \Phi_{b}(\mathbf{k}, \eta=0)+\int_{0}^{\eta} d \eta^{\prime} g_{i j}\left(\eta-\eta^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{b c d}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}\right) \Phi_{c}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}\right) \Phi_{d}\left(\mathbf{k}_{2}\right)
$$

density-div v doublet

$$
\binom{\delta(\mathbf{k})}{\theta(\mathbf{k})}
$$

## - Diagrams



Note : detailed effects of baryons versus DM can be taken into account (Somogyi \& Smith 2010) with a 4-component multiplet, for neutrinos it is more complicated...

## Not a standard quantum field theory problem...

- The observables are (or rather PDF of) expectation values that correspond to ensemble averages over the statistics of "initial" conditions (taken after decoupling).
-The system is not invariant over time translation: it is actually an unstable (non-equilibrium) system, where perturbations grow with time (as ~ power-law). The late time behavior of this system is probably non trivial and there is no known solution to it.
- Propagator has growing and decaying modes, both play important roles in the nonlinear regime. For standard (GR) cosmological models the model dependence is entirely encoded in the time dependence of the propagators.
- Loop corrections are not due to virtual particle productions but to mode couplings effects, modes being set in the initial conditions.
- Vertices have a non-trivial k-dependence but which is entirely due to the conservation equation and is independent of the energy content of the universe. Only $2 \rightarrow I$ vertices exist (quadratic couplings). This is not the case generically for modified gravity models (like chameleon, DGP ...)
- Due to the shape of CDM spectrum, there are no UV divergences (nor IR). Loops, e.g.
"Renormalizations", are all finite.


## Time-flow equations

From the field evolution equation to the spectra evolution equation

Exact evolution equation for the power spectra

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{a b}(\mathbf{k}, \eta)=g_{a c}(\eta) g_{b d}(\eta) P_{c d}(\mathbf{k}, \eta=0) \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{\eta} d \eta^{\prime} \int d^{3} q g_{a e}\left(\eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) g_{b f}\left(\eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left[\gamma_{e c d}(\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}) B_{f c d}\left(\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k} ; \eta^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\gamma_{f c d}(\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}) B_{e c d}\left(\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k} ; \eta^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$



Approximate evolution equation for the bispectra assuming no trispectra

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{a b c}(\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k} ; \eta)= \\
& g_{a d}(\eta) g_{b e}(\eta) g_{c f}(\eta) B_{d e f}(\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k} ; \eta=0) \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{\eta} d \eta^{\prime} e^{\eta^{\prime}} g_{a d}\left(\mathbf{k}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) g_{b e}\left(-\mathbf{q}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) g_{c f}\left(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left[\gamma_{d g h}(\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}) P_{e g}\left(\mathbf{q}, \eta^{\prime}\right) P_{f h}\left(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \quad+\gamma_{e g h}(-\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) P_{f g}\left(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}, \eta^{\prime}\right) P_{d h}\left(\mathbf{k}, \eta^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left.\quad+\gamma_{f g h}(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k},-\mathbf{q}) P_{d g}\left(\mathbf{k}, \eta^{\prime}\right) P_{e h}\left(\mathbf{q}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 4. Power spectra at redshift $z=1$ (divided by a smooth one). The continuous line is the result of the present paper, compared with linear theory (dotted), 1-loop PT (dash-dotted), the halo approach of ref. [20] (dashed). The dots with error bars are taken from the $N$-body simulationd of ref. [10]. The background cosmology is a spatially flat $\Lambda \mathrm{CDM}$ model with $\Omega_{\Lambda}^{0}=0.73, \Omega_{b}^{0}=0.043, h=0.7, n_{s}=1, \sigma_{8}=0.8$.

## The closure theory

It makes use of the unequal time power spectra

$$
\left\langle\Phi_{a}(\mathrm{k}, \eta) \Phi_{b}\left(\mathrm{k}^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=(2 \pi)^{3} \delta_{\text {Dirac }}\left(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right) R_{a b}\left(k, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)
$$

and of a non-linear propagator.

$$
\left\langle\frac{\delta \Phi_{a}(\mathrm{k}, \eta)}{\delta \Phi_{b}\left(\mathrm{k}^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)}\right\rangle=G_{a b}\left(\mathrm{k}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{Dirac}}\left(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then evolution equations for those quantities are derived using the Direct-Interaction (DI) approximation in which one separates the field expression in a DI part and a Non-DI part. At leading order in Non-DI >> DI, one gets a set of closed equations,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} R_{a b}\left(k, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)+\Omega_{a c} R_{c b}\left(k, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)= \\
\int_{0}^{\eta} d \eta^{\prime \prime} M_{a s}\left(k, \eta, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right) R_{b s}\left(k, \eta^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right)+ \\
\int_{0}^{\eta} d \eta^{\prime \prime} N_{a l}\left(k, \eta, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right) G_{b l}\left(k, \eta^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} G_{a b}\left(k, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)+\Omega_{a c} G_{c b}\left(k, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)= \\
\int_{0}^{\eta} d \eta^{\prime \prime} M_{a s}\left(k, \eta, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right) G_{b s}\left(k, \eta^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{a s}\left(k, \eta, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right)= \\
& \quad 4 \int d^{3} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \gamma_{a p q}\left(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}^{\prime}, \mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{l r s}\left(\mathrm{k}^{\prime}-\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}\right) \\
& \quad \times G_{q l}\left(k^{\prime}, \eta, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right) R_{p r}\left(\left|\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right|, \eta, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& N_{a l}\left(k, \eta, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right)= \\
& \quad 2 \int d^{3} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \gamma_{a p q}\left(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}^{\prime}, \mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{l r s}\left(\mathrm{k}^{\prime}-\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}\right) \\
& \quad \times R_{q s}\left(k^{\prime}, \eta, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right) R_{p r}\left(\left|\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right|, \eta, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These equations can more rigorously be derived in a large $N$ expansion.


FIG. 3.- Ratio of non-linear power spectrum to smoothed linear spectrum, $P(k) / P_{\text {no-wiggle }}(k)$, given at specific redshifts, $z=3,2,1$ and 0.5 . The error bar represents the N-body results taken from Jeong \& Komatsu (2006), in which different color indicates the results with different box size (see their paper in detail). Here, smoothed linear spectra $P_{\text {no-wiggle }}(k)$ were calculated from the linear transfer function without baryon acoustic oscillation according to the fitting formula of Eisenstein \& Hu (1998) (Eq.[29] of their paper). The non-linear power spectra are obtained from the first-order Born approximation to the integral solution (Eq.[64]), with approximate solutions of the non-linear propagator given by closure theory (thick) and RPT (thin). For comparison, one-loop predictions from the standard perturbation theory are plotted in dashed lines. Also, in panels with $z=1$ and 0.5 , maximum wave number for limitation of one-loop perturbation is indicated by vertical arrows, according to the criterion, $\Delta^{2}(k) \equiv k^{3} P(k) /\left(2 \pi^{2}\right) \lesssim 0.4$ (Jeong \& Komatsu 2006).

## The RPT reformulation

## One key ingredient : the propagator

Scoccimarro and Crocce PRD, 2005

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{a b}(k, \eta) \delta_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right) \equiv & \left\langle\frac{\delta \Psi_{a}(\mathbf{k}, \eta)}{\delta \phi_{b}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)}\right\rangle \\
& \text { Initial Conditions }
\end{aligned}
$$



Final density / velocity div.


FIG. 2: Diagrams for the non linear propagator $G(k, \eta)$ up to two loops.
-The dominant contributions can be resommed exactly in the high k limit.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G_{a b}(k, \eta) \simeq g_{a b}(\eta) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} k^{2} \sigma_{v}^{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta}-1\right)^{2}\right) \quad & \text { (high-k limit) } \\
& \sigma_{v}^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{3} \int d^{3} q \frac{P(q)}{q^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

Comparison between RPT and N-Body Simulations
( $z=0,2,5$ )



Crocce and Scoccimarro 05

## RPT (Scoccimarro and Crocce) consists in standard PT when $\mathrm{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}$



Evolution of baryonic oscillation with RPT


# Insights into higher order propagators 

-Towards a complete "renormalisation" of PT ?
FB, Crocce, Scoccimarro, PRD, 2008
The next thing to look at is the vertex ...

What we found is that these are the " $p$-point propagator" that can be "renormalized"

$$
\frac{1}{p!}\left\langle\frac{\delta^{p} \Psi_{a}(\mathbf{k}, \eta)}{\delta \phi_{b_{1}}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}\right) \ldots \delta \phi_{b_{p}}\left(\mathbf{k}_{p}\right)}\right\rangle=\delta_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_{1 \ldots p}\right) \Gamma_{a b_{1} \ldots b_{p}}^{(p)}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{k}_{p}, \eta\right)
$$



- This suggests another scheme : use the n-point propagators as the building blocks

$$
\Gamma^{(n)}\left(k, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)=
$$



- The reconstruction of the power spectrum :

$\Rightarrow$ Sum of positive terms

FIG. 3: Reconstruction of the power spectrum out of transfer functions. The crossed circles represent the initial power spectrum. The sum runs over the number of internal connecting lines, e.g. the number of such circles. It is to be noted that each term of this sum is positive.

- Calculation of renormalized vertex in high $k$ limit
if $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is the number of lines connecting the segment (i) to (j)


$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{a b c,\left\{p_{i j}\right\}}^{(2)}= & \frac{s_{\left\{p_{i j}\right\}}}{\mathcal{M}_{\left\{p_{i j}\right\}}}\left(-\frac{\sigma_{v}^{2}}{4}\right)^{\sum_{i \leq j} p_{i j}} \prod_{i} k_{i}^{2 p_{i i}} \prod_{i<j}\left(\mathbf{k}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{j}\right)^{p_{i j}} \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{~d} s^{\prime} g_{a d}\left(s-s^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{d e f}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}, \mathbf{k}_{3}\right) g_{e b}\left(s^{\prime}\right) g_{f c}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \\
\times & \left(e^{s^{\prime}}-1\right)^{2 p_{11}+2 p_{22}+2 p_{12}+p_{13}+p_{23}}\left(e^{s}-e^{s^{\prime}}\right)^{2 p_{33}+p_{13}+p_{23}} \\
& s_{\left\{p_{i j}\right\}}=2^{2 \sum_{i \leq j} p_{i j}} \\
& \mathcal{M}\left(p_{i i}\right)=2^{p_{i i}} p_{i i}!, \text { and } \mathcal{M}\left(p_{i j}\right)=p_{i j}!\text { if } i \neq j
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Gamma_{a b c}^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}, \mathbf{k}_{3}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{\sigma_{v}^{2} k_{3}^{2}}{2}\left(e^{s}-1\right)^{2}\right) \Gamma_{a b c, \text { tree }}^{(2)}
$$

- It implies that the vertex cannot be "renormalized" (into an operator which is local in time)


## Comparison with numerical simulations



- Re-summation can be extended to any order

FB, Crocce, Scoccimarro, PRD, 2008

In the large k limit we have :


$$
\Gamma^{(p)}=\exp \left[-\frac{\left|\mathbf{k}_{1}+\ldots \mathbf{k}_{p}\right|^{2} \sigma_{v}^{2}}{2}\left(e^{s}-1\right)^{2}\right] \Gamma_{\text {tree }}^{(p)}
$$

- Non-Gaussian initial conditions Crocce, Sefusatti, FB, 2010
- The Gamma-expansion is still valid.
- In the large k limit we now have :

$$
G(k) \rightarrow \exp \left[-\sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left\langle(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k})^{p}\right\rangle_{c}}{p!}\left(e^{\eta}-e^{\eta_{0}}\right)^{p}\right]
$$

instead of

$$
G(k) \rightarrow \exp \left[-\frac{\left\langle(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k})^{2}\right\rangle_{c}}{2}\left(e^{\eta}-e^{\eta_{0}}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

for Gaussian initial conditions.

- Does it speed up the convergence for the reconstruction of $P(k)$ ?
- Also provide the building blocks for higher order moments...


FIG. 3: Reconstruction of the power
fer functions. The crossed circles repr spectrum. The sum runs over the num ing lines, e.g. the number of such cir that each term of this sum is positive.


Application to bispectra
Bispectrum


## Measuring bispectra

- More information (hence better $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ) on mode amplitudes (all the more when one tries to exploit the quasi-linear or nonlinear regime, see Rimes and Hamilton, MNRAS, 05)
- Intrinsic shape is a poor measurement of the energy content of the universe (e.g. dark energy equation of state) but can be used to test gravity


# Using large-scale structure to test gravity 

## Changing gravity

There are many ways of doing so... (Jain, Zhang PRD '08)

$$
\frac{1}{H} \dot{\theta}(k)+\left(2+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right) \theta(k)+\frac{3}{2} \Omega_{m} \xi(k, t) \delta_{\mathrm{m}}(k)=\ldots
$$

If the change is such that

$$
f_{k} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{~d} \log D_{+}}{\mathrm{d} \log a}=\Omega_{\mathrm{m}} \gamma\left(\gamma^{\mathrm{GR}} \approx 0.55\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { standard parameterization (Amendola } \\
& \text { \& Quercellini, '04, Linder '05, Reyes et } \\
& \text { al. Nature, etc.), }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{2}^{\mathrm{MG}}=\nu_{2}^{G R}-\frac{10}{273}\left(\gamma-\gamma^{\mathrm{GR}}\right)\left(1-\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\gamma^{\mathrm{GR}}-1} \\
& \mu_{2}^{\mathrm{MG}}=\mu_{2}^{G R}-\frac{50}{273}\left(\gamma-\gamma^{\mathrm{GR}}\right)\left(1-\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\gamma^{\mathrm{GR}}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is still a modest change


## In presence of a dilaton field

$$
S=\int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g}\left\{\frac{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^{2}}{2} \mathcal{R}-M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^{2} g^{\mu \nu} k^{2}(\phi) \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi-V(\phi)\right\}+\int d^{4} x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \mathcal{L}_{m}\left(\psi_{m}^{(i)}, A^{2}(\phi) g_{\mu \nu}\right),
$$

This extra field $\varphi$ that is responsible of massive gravity effects. Its effect are suppressed in dense regions through the Chameleon mechanism.

$$
A(\phi)=1+\frac{A_{2}}{2}\left(\phi-\phi_{0}\right)^{2}+\ldots
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(\phi)=A^{4}(\phi) V_{0} \exp (-\phi) \\
& k^{2}(\phi)=3\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \log A}{\mathrm{~d} \phi}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

A new force term: $\quad \mathrm{F}_{i}=-\frac{1}{a(t)}\left(\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)_{, i}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \log A}{\mathrm{~d} \phi}(\bar{\phi}+\delta \phi) \phi(\mathbf{x}, t)_{, i}\right)$
Newton potentials, $\Phi=\Psi$ with
An effective potential for the standard Poisson equation dilaton field

$$
V_{\text {eff. }}(\phi)=A^{4}(\phi) V_{0} \exp (-\phi)+A(\phi) \rho_{m} \quad \frac{m_{\varphi}^{2}}{H^{2}} \approx \frac{3 A_{2}}{2}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}+4 \Omega_{\Lambda}\right)\left[\lambda^{-2}+3\left(\frac{\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}}{\Omega_{\Lambda}}+4\right)^{-2}\right]^{-1}
$$



FIG. 1: Allowed parameter space for the environmentally dependent dilaton model. The shaded region is that where the presence of our galaxy is sufficient to ensure that the local value of the fifth force coupling, $\alpha$, is smaller than the Cassini probe upperbound of $10^{-5}$. We have modelled the galaxy as a spherical dark matter halo with NFW profile. We have taken typical values for the NFW model parameters for our galaxy: $r_{\text {vir }}=267 \mathrm{kpc}, c=12.0, M_{\mathrm{v}}=0.91 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$. We take the galactocentric radius of the solar system, $r_{\odot}$ to be $r_{\odot} \approx$ 8.3 kpc . These choices correspond to $\Phi\left(r_{\odot}\right)=1.02 \times 10^{-6}$ and $\rho\left(r_{\odot}\right)=0.22 \mathrm{GeV} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. This value for $\rho\left(r_{\odot}\right)$ limits $\lambda<170$, and we have plotted the constraints on $A_{2}$ for $\lambda \in[1,170]$. Very similar bounds on $A_{2}$ result for different realistic models of the oalartir haln

Evolution of structure: from GR to modified gravity dynamics


## A new Euler equation (up to second order)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{H} \dot{\theta}^{(2)}+\left(2+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right) \theta^{(2)}+\frac{3}{2} \Omega_{m}(1+\epsilon(k)) \delta_{\mathrm{m}}^{(2)}=-\beta\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}\right) \theta^{2}-\left[\mathcal{S}_{\text {Eul. }}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}\right)+\mathcal{S}_{\text {Intr. }}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\delta_{\mathrm{m}}^{(1)}\right)^{2} \\
& \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{Eul} .}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}\right)=\frac{\left(\mathbf{k}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{k}\right)}{k_{1}^{2}} \frac{a^{2} m^{2}(\bar{\phi})}{k_{2}^{2}} S\left(k_{1}\right) \eta\left(k_{2}\right) \\
& \mathcal{S}_{\text {Intr. }}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}\right)=\frac{a^{2} m^{2}(\bar{\phi})}{k_{2}^{2}} S(k) \tilde{\eta}\left(k_{2}\right)+\frac{a^{2} m^{2}(\bar{\phi})}{k_{1}^{2}} \frac{a^{2} m^{2}(\bar{\phi})}{k_{2}^{2}} S\left(k_{1}\right) S\left(k_{2}\right) \mu(k) \\
& \eta(k)=S(k) \frac{H^{2}}{m^{2}(\bar{\phi})} \frac{d\left(\beta_{\text {eff }}(\phi)\right)}{k(\bar{\phi}) d \phi}, \quad \tilde{\eta}(k)=S(k) \frac{H^{2}}{m^{2}(\bar{\phi})} \frac{d\left(A(\phi) \beta_{\text {eff }}(\phi)\right)}{k(\bar{\phi}) d \phi} \quad \text { (negligible in } \lambda \rightarrow \infty \text { limit) } \\
& \mu(k)=\frac{S(k)}{3 \Omega_{m}} \frac{H^{2}}{m^{4}(\bar{\phi})} \frac{d^{3} V_{\text {eff }}}{2 M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^{2} d \varphi^{3}} \quad \text { (negligible in } \lambda \rightarrow 0 \text { limit) }
\end{aligned}
$$

FIG. 5: Dependence on $k$ of the parameters $S(k), \eta(k)$ and $\mu(k)$ for $\eta=0$ (solid lines), $\eta=-1$ (long dashed) and $\eta=-2$ (short dashed). Note that for the adopted parameters $\eta(k)$ and $\tilde{\eta}(k)$ are undistinguishable.

## Bispectra (equilateral configurations)






- Similar effects (maybe slightly smaller) were found by Chan and Scoccimarro in case of the DGP model (where small scale GR is recovered through the Vainshtein mechanism).

Robust features are expected to be seen in large-scale structure observations. Changing the strength/form of gravity laws is our best chance to induce significant (although mild) changes in the shape/amplitude of the observable bispectra.

## Conclusions

- New methods are being developed, still in progress
- RPT, Gamma-expansion, closure theory, time-flow RG, but also with an effective fluid approach has been proposed as a possible route to such calculations (Baumann et al., 'l0);
- Which approach is the "best" (if any) is not clear yet;
- Important cross-checks with N -body codes (for various models);
- An interesting play-ground for theoretical physicists;
- Maybe our best chance to unambiguously grasp the nature of dark energy (in particular through detailed analysis of 3-pts functions)

