Matter Power Spectrum in Viable f(R) Models with Massive Neutrino

Chung-Chi Lee

National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Taiwan Collaborators: Chao-Qiang Geng, Jia-Liang Shen

Aug 3, 2014



- **(2)** f(R) Gravity and Perturbation
- 3 Numerical Results



- **2** f(R) Gravity and Perturbation
 - 3 Numerical Results

4 Conclusion

3 ×

- The viable f(R) models are suitable to explain Dark Energy Problem.
- Neutrino mass difference from oscillation experiments:

$$\begin{split} \Delta m^2_{21} &= (7.50\pm 0.20)\times 10^{-5} eV^2\,,\\ \Delta m^2_{32} &= \left(2.32^{+0.12}_{-0.08}\right)\times 10^{-3} eV^2\,. \end{split}$$

• Neutrono mass from cosmology:

 $\Sigma m_{\nu} < 0.23 eV \text{ (best fit : } 0.001 eV).$ (95%; Planck + WMAP + highL + BAO)

• Matter Power Spectrum P(k) (data from SDSS DR7): $\langle \delta_m(\vec{k}) \delta_m^*(\vec{k'}) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 P(k) \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k'}) \,.$



• The Starobinsky model allows 1 eV sterile neutrino¹ (WMAP7 and SDSS DR7).

¹ H. Motohashi, A. A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, PRL **110**, 121302 Chung-Chi Lee (National Center for Theoreti-Matter Power Spectrum in Viable f(R) Mod

Aug 3, 2014 5 / 19

2 f(R) Gravity and Perturbation

3 Numerical Results

4 Conclusion

Chung-Chi Lee (National Center for Theoreti Matter Power Spectrum in Viable f(R) Mod

• f(R) gravity:

One of the simplest modified gravity model, which extends Einstein-Hilbert action to higher order terms.

• The action of f(R) gravity:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R) + S_m \,,$$

where f(R) is an arbitrary function.

Perturbation

• The field(modified Einstein) equation:

$$f_R R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{f}{2} g_{\mu\nu} + (g_{\mu\nu}\Box - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu) f_R = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu} ,$$

where the subscript R denotes d/dR.

• The perturbed FLRW metric in Newtonian gauge:

$$ds^{2} = a(\tau)^{2} \left[-(1+2\Psi)d\tau^{2} + (1-2\Phi)dx_{i}dx^{i} \right] \,.$$

• The perturbed energy-momentum tensor:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T_0^0 &=& -\rho_m + \delta \rho_m \,, \\ T_i^0 &=& -\rho_m v_{m,i} \,, \\ T_j^i &=& \delta P \delta_j^i + \pi_j^i \,. \end{array}$$

Perturbation

• In Λ CDM model:

$$\frac{k^2}{a^2}\Psi = -4\pi G\rho\delta_m\,,\qquad \Psi = \Phi\,,$$
 where $\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{a}\frac{da}{d\tau}$, $w = \frac{P}{\rho}$ and $\delta_m = \frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} + 3\frac{\mathcal{H}}{k}(1+w)$.
In $f(R)$ gravity:

$$\frac{k^2}{a^2}\Psi = -4\pi G\mu(k,a)\rho\delta_m\,,\qquad \frac{\Phi}{\Psi} = \gamma(k,a)\,.$$

and

٠

$$\mu(k,a) = \frac{1}{f_R} \frac{1 + 4\frac{k^2}{a^2} \frac{f_{RR}}{f_R}}{1 + 3\frac{k^2}{a^2} \frac{f_{RR}}{f_R}}, \qquad \gamma(k,a) = \frac{1 + 2\frac{k^2}{a^2} \frac{f_{RR}}{f_R}}{1 + 4\frac{k^2}{a^2} \frac{f_{RR}}{f_R}},$$

which are used in MGCAMB² and CosmoMC.

• The evolution equation of matter perturbation is derived as

$$\ddot{\delta}_m + 2H\dot{\delta}_m - 4\pi G\,\mu(k,a)\,\rho_m\delta_m = 0\,.$$

²A. Hojjati, G.B. Zhao, L. Pogosian and A. Silvestri, JCAP **1108** 005, http://www.sfu.ca# aha25/MGCAMB.html 🔊

f(R) Gravity Viability Conditions

- $\frac{\partial f(R)}{\partial R} > 0$ for $R > R_0$.
- $\frac{\partial^2 f(R)}{\partial R^2} > 0$ for $R > R_0$.
- $f(R) \rightarrow R 2\Lambda$ in the large curvature regime $(R \gg R_0)$.
- Having a stable late-time de-Sitter point.
- Passing local gravity constraints.

f(R) Gravity

- Hu-Sawicki model: $f(R) = R - R_{\rm HS} \frac{c_1 (R/R_{\rm HS})^p}{c_2 (R/R_{\rm HS})^p + 1}$
- Starobinsky model: $f(R) = R - \lambda R_{\rm S} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{R_{\rm S}^2} \right)^{-n} \right]$
- Tsujikawa model: $f(R) = R - \mu R_{\rm T} \tanh\left(\frac{R}{R_{\rm T}}\right)$
- Exponential gravity model: $f(R) = R - \beta R_{\rm E} \left(1 - e^{-R/R_{\rm E}}\right)$
- Appleby-Battye model: $(1-g)R + gR_{AB}\ln\left[\frac{\cosh\left(R/R_{AB}-b\right)}{\cosh b}\right]$



2 f(R) Gravity and Perturbation

3 Numerical Results

4 Conclusion

• Starobinsky model:

$$f(R) = R - \lambda R_{\rm S} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{R_{\rm S}^2} \right)^{-n} \right] \,.$$

• Exponential model:

$$f(R) = R - \beta R_s \left(1 - e^{-R/R_s} \right) \,.$$

- Assumption:
 - Linear perturbation.
 - The $\Lambda {\rm CDM}$ background evolution.
 - One massive neutrinos and two massless neutrinos.

Numerical Results

• Matter density perturbation:

$$\ddot{\delta}_m + 2H\dot{\delta}_m - 4\pi G\,\mu(k,a)\,\rho_m\delta_m = 0$$
, where $\mu(k,a) = \frac{1}{f_R} \frac{1+4\frac{k^2}{a^2}\frac{J_RR}{f_R}}{1+3\frac{k^2}{a^2}\frac{f_RR}{f_R}}$

• Matter power spectrum P(k) in Starobinsky (left) and Exponential gravity (right) model:



Chung-Chi Lee (National Center for Theoreti Matter Power Spectrum in Viable f(R) Mod

.2 f

• $\frac{P_{exp}-P_{\Lambda CDM}}{P_{\Lambda CDM}}$ in Exponential gravity model with $\beta = 1$ (red), 2 (green) and 4 (blue).



Numerical Results

• Fitting result from CMB (Planck and WMAP), BAO (SDSS DR11), SNIa (SNLS) and MPK (SDSS and WiggleZ)

Model	Σm_{ν}	$\Omega_c h^2$
Starobinsky (left)	$0.25^{+0.20}_{-0.23} \text{ eV}$	$0.114^{+0.004}_{-0.002}$
Exponential (right)	< 0.21 eV	0.118 ± 0.03
ΛCDM	< 0.20 eV	$0.117^{+0.004}_{-0.002}$



(2) f(R) Gravity and Perturbation

3 Numerical Results



- In Starobinsky model, the neutrino mass best-fit locates at $\Sigma m_{\nu} = 0.25 \text{ eV}$, which is consistent with the result from neutrino oscillation experiments.
- The Exponential gravity model might not be distinguishable from ΛCDM model.

Thank you for your attention!!

Numerical Results

• The probability of model parameter in Starobinsky (left) and Exponential (right) models.



