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Type Ia Supernovae

Thermonuclear explosions of accreting C+O white dwarfs

- Energy budget
- Lack of hydrogen in spectra
- Light curve decay

Key Questions:
- Progenitor systems
- Explosion mechanism

Cosmology: Light curve width (stretch) - luminosity relation allows calibration of SNe Ia as standard candles
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Background

We have never seen a Type Ia supernova progenitor!

⇒ Statistical analyses of hosts/environments

- Use variation in galaxy properties to constrain progenitor ages

Existing calculations either:

1. Calculate SN Ia rates for different host galaxy types, or
2. Parametrize the supernova rate.
   - Most successful:
     \[ \text{SNR} \sim A M_* + B \dot{M}_* \]
     (Scannapieco & Bildsten 2005)

More SNe in star-forming hosts

Sullivan et al. (2006)
Background: Prompt Type Ia Supernovae?

- SN rates differ in quiescent vs. star-forming hosts.
- SN properties also differ.
  - SNe with wider, stretched light curves live in star-forming hosts
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Background: Prompt Type Ia Supernovae?

- SN rates differ in quiescent vs. star-forming hosts.
- SN properties also differ.
  - SNe with wider, stretched light curves live in star-forming hosts

Questions:

1. Are there two populations of Type Ia SNe?
2. What are the ages of Type Ia progenitors?

Sullivan et al. (2006)
Our Work

Sample: SDSS-SN

- Untargeted, difference-imaging survey
- Survey area already well-covered by SDSS spectroscopy

Our analysis:

1. Compare host galaxies of SNe Ia with different properties
2. Perform a Monte-Carlo analysis to constrain SN Ia progenitor ages

- Confirm and quantify association of luminous, high stretch SNe with young stars
The Data: SDSS-SN

SDSS-SN, part of SDSS II

- Scanned 270 deg$^2$ repeatedly for a total of nine months
  - $-50^\circ < RA < 59^\circ$,
  - $-1.25^\circ < Dec < 1.25^\circ$

- Untargeted: discovered over 400 local ($z \lesssim 0.3$) SNe Ia in a nearly unbiased manner

- Lots of well-sampled light curves

Sample Light Curves

Brandt et al. (2010)
SDSS Stripe 82

SDSS DR7 has spectra of 77,000 galaxies in the area surveyed!

- 133 of the 77,000 were SDSS-SN SN Ia hosts
- Hodge-podge of selection criteria for the 77,000 galaxies, but:
  - SN Ia hosts are a real, controlled subsample of the 77,000
  - Our sample: select 101 of 133 SNe with well-sampled light curves

⇒ 77,000 spectroscopic control galaxies, 101 hosts of well-observed SNe Ia
Star Formation Histories

Stellar population synthesis with VESPA (Tojeiro et al. 2007): star formation histories for 77,000 galaxies

- Spectral: fits entire SDSS spectrum (excluding emission lines)
- Adaptive: recovers higher resolution star formation histories only when warranted

We degrade all star formation histories to three bins to minimize systematics

- Uncertainties in dust modeling
- Uncertainties in modeled stellar spectra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Age Range (Gyr)</th>
<th>MS Spectral Types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002 – 0.42</td>
<td>O and B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.42 – 2.4</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.4 – 14</td>
<td>F and later</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Two Populations

Association of high stretch SNe, young populations clear in earlier work

Can we see it in our host spectra?

- Divide the sample at $s = 0.92$
- Coadd spectra of high and low stretch hosts separately
- Use bootstrap resampling to derive means and variances

The difference between high and low stretch hosts is a B star plus nebular emission lines!

⇒ Two populations, no black box!
Supernova Rates

We really want the supernova rate as a function of progenitor age: the Delay Time Distribution (DTD):

- Explosion rate $\varepsilon$ for stars of a given age
- Units: SN yr$^{-1}$ M$_\odot^{-1}$
- Three age bins $i$, two kinds of SN (high stretch $h$ and low stretch $l$)
  $\Rightarrow$ six rates $\varepsilon_{h,i}$ and $\varepsilon_{l,i}$

Total Type Ia supernova rate is:

$$SNR = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{h,i} M_i + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{l,i} M_i,$$

- $i \in \{1, 2, 3\} =$ age bin, $M_i =$ total stellar mass in age bin $i$
Our Method

Towards a DTD

- Use Monte Carlo to explore $\varepsilon_i$ parameter space
- Generate a sample of mock hosts using

$$SNR = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{h,i}M_i + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{l,i}M_i.$$ 

$\Rightarrow$ Construct detection efficiency to reproduce SN redshifts.

- Compute a likelihood for each DTD realization.
Our Method

Towards a DTD

- Use Monte Carlo to explore $\varepsilon_i$ parameter space
- Generate a sample of mock hosts using

\[
\text{SNR} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{h,i} M_i + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{l,i} M_i.
\]

$\Rightarrow$ Construct detection efficiency to reproduce SN redshifts.

- Compute a likelihood for each DTD realization.
A Likelihood Function: Comparing Average Spectra

Compute average spectra of mock hosts, do a $\chi^2$ comparison to observed spectra

Advantages:
- No stellar population synthesis on actual hosts
- Random errors in recovered stellar masses average out
- Very weak dependence on detection function

Disadvantages:
- Bootstrap errors too conservative?
Compare average spectra to generate posterior probability distributions for:

Young (O and B) stars
Posterior Probability Distributions

Compare average spectra to generate posterior probability distributions for:

Middle-aged (A) stars
Compare average spectra to generate posterior probability distributions for:

**Old (F and later) stars**
The DTD in Physical Units:
The DTD as Fractions of the Local Type Ia Rate:
The DTD as Fractions of the Local Type Ia Rate:

- Most high stretch SNe have progenitors younger than $\sim 400$ Myr
  - Average spectra hint at an age $\sim 50$ Myr
- Most low stretch SNe have progenitors older than 2-3 Gyr
Future Prospects

Two main avenues for improvement:

1. Shrink the error bars
   - Need more SNe

2. Improve the temporal resolution of the DTD
   - Need better stellar models and/or UV data

Spectroscopy of all SDSS-SN hosts is ongoing, could be ~ 300 objects with stretches

- Also need a deep, volume-limited spectroscopic control sample (Galaxy And Mass Assembly, GAMA?)

GALEX photometry can be added to our sample now
- How much new information can we recover?
We know there are two populations.

- Luminous SNe Ia are from stars $\lesssim 400$ Myr
- Subluminous SNe Ia are from stars $\gtrsim 2 - 3$ Gyr
- Precise age ranges are unclear, improvements will require better stellar spectral modeling

We don’t know whether this matters for cosmology.

We still don’t know what the physical progenitors are.