Formation clues for close-in exoplanets

James Owen (Imperial College London)

Yanqin Wu (Toronto) Vincent Van-Eylen (Leiden)

> THE ROYAL SOCIETY



Planet photoevaporation



270^

270*

How long does evaporation last? — First ~100 Myr

Jackson et al. (2012)



Evolution of close-in evaporating planets





Questions:

Why do you get an "evaporation valley"?

What does the observed feature tell us about the exoplanet population?





How does the planet's radius vary with envelope mass fraction (X_{env})?

Envelope Mass / Core Mass

∆R=Planet Radius - Core Radius

 $n_{b} = \left(\frac{3\gamma - 4}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{1}{\alpha + 1}\right) \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha + 2} \approx 1.31$ Ratio of
specific heats
Opacity variation with
pressure (at constant
temperature)



Origin of the evaporation



Either: Completely strip a planet or evaporate it to a few percent H/He!

The valley as a probe of core composition

The valley separates those planets that are completely stripped from those that have a 1% H/He atmosphere by mass.

- The separation at which you can completely strip all lowmass planets depends on core (planet) mass. Strip more massive cores closer to the star.
- The planet radius at which you strip a 1% envelope depends on core (planet) radius. Larger cores have the gap at larger planetary radii.

The valley probes both core mass and radius: probes core composition!

The valley as a probe of core composition



What can we learn from the observed evaporation valley

Fulton et al. (2017)



Sensitive to core properties and distance from star

Core composition

- Spread in core composition must be narrow.
- Cores must have "earth-like" composition. Approximately 1/3 Iron 2/3 Silicates.
- Cores cannot contain ice/ water.



See also Jin & Mordasini (2017)

Comparison to observations: evaporation valley



Owen & Wu (2017)

Birth composition

- A single population of planets can explain the observed features.
- Core masses peaking around 3-4 Mearth.
- Initial H/He mass fraction greater than a few percent.

Disallowed by observations





Model Population

Fulton et al. 2017 Owen & Wu (2017) CKS 0.05 10 101.0Normalised Planet Density Planet Size [Earth radii] typical uncert. 0.04 6 Relative Occurrence $\mathbf{6}$ 4 4 3 $\mathbf{2}$ 2 0.00.00 100 10 30 100 3 10 1 Orbital period [days] Orbital period [days]

Model Population



Radius [R_⊕]

Radius [R⊕]

Test 1: Valley Slope

Van Eylen et al. submm.





Test 2: Stellar Mass

Observations - CKS cool team proposal (Erik Petigura) 0,030 3.5 1.0-1.3 M_{sum} Planet Size [Earth radii] 0,025 typica 0.020 uncert 0.015 atis 0.010Completen ě 0,005 0,000 1,0 1000 3000 300 100 30 10 0.050 0.8-1.0 Msun Planet Size [Earth radii] 0.025typical 0.020 uncert 0.015 g 0.010 <u>}</u> .005 1.00.000 3000 1000 300 100 30 10 0.030 0.5-0.8 M_{sun} 3.5 Planet Size [Earth radii] 0.025 0.020 Unknown 0.015 🎘 0.010 ≟ 0.005

- 0.000

10

30

1.0

1000

300

Stel ar light intensity relative to Earth

100



Water Worlds? Solid Core Steam/water envelope

Evaporation valley would be at periods of <1 day

 $t_{\dot{m}} = 100 \text{ Myr} = \frac{M_{\text{env}}}{\dot{M}} \propto \eta X_2 \frac{M_c^2}{(2R_c)^3} \frac{1}{F_{\text{XUV}}}$ Probably much lower due to enchanted cooling from

oxygen

now around ~50%



Clues about the formation of the bulk of low-mass, close-in planets

- Cores are "Earth-like" and contain no ice: must have formed inside the snow-line (maybe?).
- Must have had initial H/He mass fractions greater than a few percent: must have formed in gas disc.
- Must have been at there present locations well before 100 Myr: no late time migration (e.g. higheccentricity migration)