

Ryo Tsutsui, JGRG 22(2012)111305

"Measuring distance with gamma-ray bursts"

RESCEU SYMPOSIUM ON

GENERAL RELATIVITY AND GRAVITATION

JGRG 22

November 12-16 2012

Koshiba Hall, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo, Japan





Distance measurement with (long) gamma-ray bursts

 Ryo Tsutsui (RESCEU) on behalf of GRB Cosmology Project and Hi-Z GUNDAM Project

JGRG22 2012/11/13



Table of Contents

- Brief introduction of gamma-ray bursts
- previous works and problems for distance measurements with gamma-ray bursts
- Their improvements and future prospects

Table of Contents

- Brief introduction of gamma-ray bursts
- previous works and problems for distance measurements with gamma-ray bursts
- Their improvements and future prospects



Meszaros 2001

Energy release form central engine Radiation from relativistic jet Lorents factor ~ 100 interaction between shell and interstellar medium

Time scale of GRBs



Complex time profile



Two population Long: core collapse Short: merger?

Energy and distance of GRBs



Spectra - Luminosity correlation



GRBs are "standardizable candles"

Luminosity of objects in the sky

Sun 10³³ erg/s

Type la Supernovae 1043 erg/s

Gamma ray bursts 10⁵³ erg/s

Table of Contents

- Brief introduction of gamma-ray bursts
- previous works and problems for distance measurements with gamma-ray bursts
- Their improvements and future prospects

Distance measurement with GRBs



Kodama, RT + 2008

 $(\Omega_{\rm m}, \, \Omega_{\Lambda}) = (0, \, 1)$



Kodama, RT + 2008





Major origin of error



The upper dashed line is 4 times brighter than the lower one. This means that we have two times uncertainty in distance measurement with Ep-Lp relation.

What means "Four times"



The difference between two P-L relations for Cepheid is comparable with dispersion of the Ep-Lp relation for long GRBs.

Result of the classification of Cepheid variable



Clues for the existence of sub-classes of long GRBs I



Some long GRB are associated with Type Ic SN, but some does not. These events may result in sub structure in the Ep-Lp relation.

Clues for the existence of sub-classes for long GRBs II



The most difficult problem which GRB study is facing. This suggests that there are some populations of long GRB.

Diversity of X-ray AG light curve (t~100-10⁶ sec)

Table of Contents

- Brief introduction of gamma-ray bursts
- previous works and problems for distance measurements with gamma-ray bursts
- Their improvements and future prospects

Toward accurate candles

- The use of accurate data.
- Redefinition of Lp in GRB rest frame time.
- The use of robust statistics and outlier rejection
- The second parameter : $T_L = E_{iso}/L_p$

Yonetoku, RT, + PASJ, 2010 RT, + PASJ, 2011

Two separate populations of long GRBs

RT. PASJ in press



 $T_L = E_{iso}/L_p$



A new scaling law of Type II long GRBs





Division into sub classes reveals a beautiful scaling law of Type II long GRBs. This relation implies a universal mechanism which governing both of prompt and afterglow emissions.

Two separate populations of long GRBs

RT. PASJ in press



 $T_L = E_{iso}/L_p$

Hubble diagram for Type I long GRBs



 $(\Omega_{\rm m}, \Omega_{\rm n}) = (0, 1)$ $\bar{\sigma}_{\mu, \rm GRBs} = 0.31$ $\bar{\sigma}_{\mu, \rm SNeIa} = 0.26$

> The error of LGRBs becomes as small as those of SNe Ia.

Constraint from Type I long GRBs





	Ω_M	Ω_{Λ}	$\chi^2/d.o.f$
9 LGRBs (flat)	0.22 ± 0.04	-	5.09/7
557 SNe Ia (non-flat)	0.29 ± 0.10	0.76 ± 0.13	542.1/555
Combined (non-flat)	0.23 ± 0.06	0.68 ± 0.08	548.3/564

Future mission

HiZ-GUNDAM (High-z Gamma-ray bursts for Unraveling the Dark Ages Mission)



80 events/year (40 events for cosmology?)

Hubble diagram for Type I long GRBs



$\sigma_{\Omega m} \sim 0.01$ for 100 Type I long GRBs.

GUNDAM @ ODAIBA



Hi-Z GUNDAM Project has nothing to do with a famous japanese animation GUMDAM

If you go to Odedo-onsen by subway and monorail, you can find big gundam from monorail.

Summary

- We have found sub classes of long GRBs.
- Division into two classes drastically improve accuracy of distance measurement.

Two distinct spectral model

BAND model

$$f_{\text{BAND}}(E) = \begin{cases} A\left(\frac{E}{100}\right)^{\alpha} \exp\left[-\frac{E(2+\alpha)}{E_{\text{peak}}}\right], & E < E_c, \\ A\left[\frac{(\alpha-\beta)E_{\text{peak}}}{100(2+\alpha)}\right]^{\alpha-\beta} \exp\left(\beta-\alpha\right)\left(\frac{E}{100}\right)^{\beta}, & E \ge E_c, \end{cases}$$

Cut off power law model

$$f_{\text{COMP}}(E) = A \left(\frac{E}{E_{\text{piv}}}\right)^{\alpha} \exp\left[-\frac{E(2+\alpha)}{E_{\text{peak}}}\right].$$



CPL Ep is alway higher than Band Ep

How to define Lp

I sec in observer frame \rightarrow 2.7 sec in GRB rest fram



 $\tau\approx 2.7$ sec is the best resolution for Lp