Higgs inflotion updates



Seongchan Park



International Symposium on Cosmology and Particle Astrophysics

CosPA 2017

December 13, 2017 Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, JAPAN



"Once you have a collider, every problem starts to look like a particle."



"Once you have a collider, every problem starts to look like a particle."

In particle physics, inflation takes place due to a scalar field

N.B. $A^M = (A_5, A^\mu) = (\phi, A^\mu)$

ex) Extra natural inflation [Arcani-Hamed et. al. (2003)] Orbifold GUT inflation [SCP (2007)] This is what we want: $a(t) = a_0 e^{H(t-t_0)}$

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a(t)^2 d\vec{x} \cdot d\vec{x}$$



This is the equation:
$$H^2 = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{\rho}{3M_p^2}$$

It is realized if the potential is "flat"

$$\rho = V(\phi)$$

(V'/V)² $\ll 1$
(ex) $V = \lambda \phi^4, \lambda \sim 10^{-12}$



An economical and predictive idea

: The SM Higgs = Inflaton field

- at low scale (~100 GeV) responsible for EWSB
- at high scale (~10¹⁷ GeV) responsible for cosmic inflation

Higgs potential with RG running and with IHI2 R term



* Reheating process is built-in due to the Higgs Decay (as checked by LHC) :-)
 * Link EW scale physics to cosmology!

(the best) fit to the DATA"

Planck Collaboration[Astron. Astrophys. 594 (2016)] 0.25Planck 2013 Planck TT+lowP Planck TT, TE, EE+lowP 0.20Conver Natural inflation Hilltop quartic model Tensor-to-scalar ratio $(r_{0.002})$ α attractors Concave 0.15Power-law inflation Low scale SB SUSY R^2 inflation $V\propto \phi^3$ 0.10 $V\propto \phi^2$ $V\propto \phi^{4/3}$ $V\propto \phi$ 0.05 $V\propto \phi^{2/3}$ ١ ١ $N_{*} = 50$ ١ $N_* = 60$ 0.000.06 0.940.981.00Primordial tilt (n_s) R² inflation

=Higgs inflation



- Higgs in the SM —status review
- Higgs inflation with RGE
- Discussions on consistency and further implications
- (+one more thing)



- Local gauge symmetry SU(3)XSU(2)XU(1)_Y with Higgs mechanism => SU(3)XU(1)_{em in broken phase}
- N-generations of Weyl spinors: {Q,U,D,L,E,(n)} X N
- Quiz) how many free parameters?



- Total (N²+N+6) free parameters (+ neutrino masses and mixings)
 - * gauge couplings (g_3, g_2, g_1) : 3
 - ★ Higgs self coupling + VEV : 2
 - ★ Fermion masses : (2N +N)
 - * N(N-1)/2 mixing angles + (N-1)(N-2)/2 complex phases
- N=3 (4th chiral gen. ruled out by the LHC), 18 in total
- 17 known before the LHC



 $V(H) = \lambda \left(H^{\dagger}H - v^2/2 \right)^2$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \frac{v+h+i\phi^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Lorentz scalar (s=0) (1,2,1/2) of SU(3)XSU(2)XU(1)



 $V(H) = \lambda \left(H^{\dagger}H - v^2/2 \right)^2$

The most general renormalizable potential with a Tachynonic mass

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \frac{v+h+i\phi^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Lorentz scalar (s=0) (1,2,1/2) of SU(3)XSU(2)XU(1)



 $V(H) = \lambda \left(H^{\dagger} H - v^2/2 \right)^2$

The most general renormalizable potential with a Tachynonic mass

Only two parameters

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \frac{v+h+i\phi^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Lorentz scalar (s=0) (1,2,1/2) of SU(3)XSU(2)XU(1)



$$m_W^2 = \frac{g^2 v^2}{4}, \quad m_Z^2 = \frac{(g'^2 + g^2)v^2}{4}$$

$$v = \left(\sqrt{2}G_F\right)^{-1/2} \approx 246 \text{GeV}$$

known since 1970s



the last piece of information within the SM

$$\lambda = \frac{m_h^2}{2v^2} \approx \frac{1}{8}$$

 $m_H = 125.03^{+0.26}_{-0.27}(\text{stat})^{+0.13}_{-0.15}(\text{syst})$ CMS PAS HIG-14-009 $m_H = 125.36 \pm 0.37(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.18(\text{syst.})\text{GeV}$

ATLAS arXiv:1406.3827





C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 40, 100001 (2016) and 2017 update



 $V(h) = \frac{1}{2} (125 \text{GeV})^2 h^2 + \frac{1}{8} (246 \text{GeV}) h^3 + \frac{1}{32} h^4$ $\lambda v^2 = \frac{m_h^2}{2}$ measured





HIGGS SELF INTERACTIONS



measured+predicted

predicted

<u>Theorists can make models predicting deviations (New physics).</u> These are good topics for future colliders such as ILC or CEPC ...

served Higgs-other porticle interactions g..... W^*/Z^* W/Z.... Н -- H - H W/Z*c*^c gW/Zg Gluon fusion Vector Boson Fusion VH (1.1pb) ttH (0.1pb) ggH (19pb) VBF (1.6pb) Z/W bb (58%) WW (21.5%) γγ (0.2%) ττ (6.3%) ZZ (2.6%)

Higgs couplings with gauge bosons and some fermions are observed through several processes (indirectly/directly)

All ore consistent with the SM



consistent with the SM (LHC Run-2)



The observed Decay pattern of the Higgs is consistent with the SM

$$\mu = \frac{N_{exp}}{N_{theory}}$$

 $\mu = 1.09 \pm 0.07 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.04 \text{ (expt)} \pm 0.03 \text{ (th. bkg)} \pm 0.07 \text{ (th. sig)}$

Confidently, we can tell that the observed Higgs is very very close to the SM Higgs!

C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 40, 100001 (2016) and 2017 update



- Let's be more ambitious and ask even more extrapolation of our knowledge further.
- Q. What's role of the Higgs at very early era of universe, e.g., at the inflationary era?
- To answer the question, we need to understand high energy & Large field behavior of the Higgs potential.



- In principle, we can 'calculate' everything $E < \Lambda_{SM}$
- Λ_{SM} > TeV, LHC
- $\Lambda_{SM} \sim M_P$, in principle (Don't forget renormalizability!)
- We may be able to see physics near the Planck energy assuming that the SM is good (which is not likely though).









 'non-minimal coupling term' Dim=4 term (indeed minimally coupled in Einstein frame) is introduced.



- 'non-minimal coupling term' Dim=4 term (indeed minimally coupled in Einstein frame) is introduced.
- This term is completely compatible with all symmetries of the theory, i.e. local covariance, Lorentz symmetry and also the SM gauge symmetry

 $V(H) = \lambda \left(|H|^2 - v^2/2 \right)^2$ $S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{M_P^2 + \xi |H|^2}{2} R + |DH|^2 - V(H) + \mathcal{L}_{SM} \right]$

- 'non-minimal coupling term' Dim=4 term (indeed minimally coupled in Einstein frame) is introduced.
- This term is completely compatible with all symmetries of the theory, i.e. local covariance, Lorentz symmetry and also the SM gauge symmetry
- There could be more terms ~ O(4+n)/∧^{n≥1} w/ strengths to be determined by future experiments or underlying theory you assume.

 $V(H) = \lambda \left(|H|^2 - v^2/2 \right)^2$ $S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{M_P^2 + \xi |H|^2}{2} R + |DH|^2 - V(H) + \mathcal{L}_{SM} \right]$

- 'non-minimal coupling term' Dim=4 term (indeed minimally coupled in Einstein frame) is introduced.
- This term is completely compatible with all symmetries of the theory, i.e. local covariance, Lorentz symmetry and also the SM gauge symmetry
- There could be more terms ~ O(4+n)/∧^{n≥1} w/ strengths to be determined by future experiments or underlying theory you assume.
- Below, Λ , those terms may be neglected.

Non-minimally coupled gravity and chaotic inflation

[T. Futamase, K. Maeda, PRD(1989)]

without RG effect

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{M^2 - \xi \phi^2}{2} R + (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - V(\phi) \right)$$

TABLE I. Chaotic inflationary scenario with a nonminimally coupled scalar. Inflation is realized for "Yes," but not for "No."

ξ (non-minimal) (minimal)	$V = \frac{1}{4}\phi^4$	$V = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$
<i>ξ</i> <0	Yes	No unless $ \xi \lesssim 10^{-3}$
$\xi = 0$ $0 < \xi < \frac{1}{6}$	Yes if $\xi \lesssim 10^{-8}$	Yes if $\xi \lesssim 10^{-12}$
(conformal)	Possible but unnatural if $10^{-8} < \xi \lesssim 10^{-3}$	Possible but unnatural if $10^{-8} < \xi$
	No if $\xi \gtrsim 10^{-3}$	No if $\xi \gtrsim 10^{-3}$
$\xi = \frac{1}{6}$	No	No
$\xi > \frac{1}{6}$	No	No



[SCP, S. Yamaguchi JCAP (2008)]



$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{M^2 + \mathbf{K}(\phi)}{2} R + (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - V(\phi) \right)$$

General condition for flat potential at a large field limit:

$$\lim_{\phi \to \infty} \frac{V(\phi)}{K(\phi)^2} = Const. > 0$$

"attractor"!

(ex) monomial functions $K(\phi) = \xi \phi^n, V(\phi) = \lambda \phi^{2n}$

n=2 corresponds to 'Higgs inflation'



Bezrukov, Shaposhnikov (2008) for K(phi)=xi phi^2 SCP, Yamaguchi (2008) for general K(phi) $S_J = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{M^2 + K(\phi)}{2} R + |DH|^2 + V(H) \right]$ $g_{\mu\nu} \to g^E_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\omega} \overline{g_{\mu\nu}}$ $V_E = \frac{M_P^4}{(M^2 + K)^2} V_J$ $e^{2\omega} = \frac{M^2 + K}{M_P^2}$ $\frac{\partial H_E}{\partial H_I} = \sqrt{e^{-2\omega} + \frac{3}{2}e^{2\omega}K'^2}$ $S_E = \int d^4x \sqrt{g_E} \left| \frac{M_P^2}{2} R_E + |DH_E|^2 - V(H_E) \right|$



Bezrukov, Shaposhnikov (2008) for K(phi)=xi phi^2 SCP, Yamaguchi (2008) for general K(phi) $S_J = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{M^2 + K(\phi)}{2} R + |DH|^2 + V(H) \right]$ $V_E = \frac{M_P^4}{(M^2 + K)^2} V_J$ $g_{\mu\nu} \to g^E_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\omega} g_{\mu\nu}$ $e^{2\omega} = \frac{M^2 + K}{M_P^2}$ $\frac{\partial H_E}{\partial H_I} = \sqrt{e^{-2\omega} + \frac{3}{2}e^{2\omega}K'^2}$ $S_E = \int d^4x \sqrt{g_E} \left| \frac{M_P^2}{2} R_E + |DH_E|^2 - V(H_E) \right|$ for Higgs $V_J = \lambda H_J^4, K = \xi H_J^2$ inflotion:



Bezrukov, Shaposhnikov (2008) for K(phi)=xi phi^2 SCP, Yamaguchi (2008) for general K(phi) $S_J = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{M^2 + K(\phi)}{2} R + |DH|^2 + V(H) \right]$ $V_E = \frac{M_P^4}{(M^2 + K)^2} V_J$ $g_{\mu\nu} \to \overline{g}^E_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\omega} \overline{g}_{\mu\nu}$ $e^{2\omega} = \frac{M^2 + K}{M_D^2}$ $\frac{\partial H_E}{\partial H_I} = \sqrt{e^{-2\omega} + \frac{3}{2}e^{2\omega}K'^2}$ $S_E = \int d^4x \sqrt{g_E} \left| \frac{M_P^2}{2} R_E + |DH_E|^2 - V(H_E) \right|$ for Higgs $V_J = \lambda H_J^4, K = \xi H_J^2 \quad \rightarrow V_E \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{\xi^2} M_P^4$ inflation:



Bezrukov, Shaposhnikov (2008) for K(phi)=xi phi^2 SCP, Yamaguchi (2008) for general K(phi) $S_J = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{M^2 + K(\phi)}{2} R + |DH|^2 + V(H) \right]$ $V_E = \frac{M_P^4}{(M^2 + K)^2} V_J$ $g_{\mu\nu} \to g^E_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\omega} g_{\mu\nu}$ $e^{2\omega} = \frac{M^2 + K}{M_D^2}$ $\frac{\partial H_E}{\partial H_I} = \sqrt{e^{-2\omega} + \frac{3}{2}}e^{2\omega}K'^2$ $S_{E} = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{g_{E}} \left| \frac{M_{P}^{2}}{2} R_{E} + |DH_{E}|^{2} - V(H_{E}) \right|$ flat potential for Higgs $V_J = \lambda H_J^4, K = \xi H_J^2 \quad \neg > V_E \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{c_2} M_P^4$ inflotion:



$$n_s = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{3}{2a_0 N^{3/2}} - \frac{3}{2N}, & (m = 1) \\ 1 - \frac{9(1 + 1/(6a_0))}{2N^2} - \frac{2}{N}, & (m = 2) \\ 1 - \frac{9}{2N^2} - \frac{2}{N}, & (m \ge 3) \end{cases}, \qquad r = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{a_0 N^{3/2}}, & (m = 1) \\ \frac{12(1 + 1/(6a_0))}{N^2}, & (m \ge 2) \\ \frac{12}{N^2}, & (m \ge 3) \end{cases}$$









or $\lambda \sim 1, \xi \sim 10^5$

$$\mathcal{L}_G \ni \frac{M_P^2 + 10^5 \times \phi^2}{2} R + \cdots$$

DOES NOT LOOK GOOD :-(



Who told you this? $\lambda \sim 1, \xi \sim 10^5$

Actually, when we take the **quantum** effect into account, the self coupling becomes small!

 $\lambda(100~{\rm GeV})\approx 1/8\rightarrow\lambda(\mu\gg 100~{\rm GeV})\ll 1$





Top quark Yukawa coupling

gauge & self interactions

$$y_t = \frac{\sqrt{2}m_t}{v} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot 172}{246} \approx 0.98$$



t-Quark Mass (Direct Measurements)

The following measurements extract a *t*-quark mass from the kinematics of $t\bar{t}$ events. They are sensitive to the top quark mass used in the MC generator that is usually interpreted as the pole mass, but the theoretical uncertainty in this interpretation is hard to quantify. See the review "The Top Quark" and references therein for more information.

OUR AVERAGE of 173.1 \pm 0.6 GeV is an average of top mass measurements from LHC and Tevatron Runs. The latest Tevatron average, 174.30 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.54 GeV, was provided by the Tevatron Electroweak Working Group (TEVEWWG).

VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
173.1 ± 0.6 OUR AVER below.	AGE Error includ	des scale facto	or of 1.6. See the ideogram
172.84 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.61	¹ AABOUD	16⊤ ATLS	combination of ATLAS
172.44 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.47	² KHACHATRY	.16AK CMS	combination of CMS
$174.30 \pm \ 0.35 \pm \ 0.54$	³ TEVEWWG	16 TEVA	Tevatron combination
• • • We do not use the fo	ollowing data for a	verages, fits,	limits, etc. • • •

Citation: C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 40, 100001 (2016) and 2017 update



PDG (2017 update)

	$m_t \; ({\rm GeV}/c^2)$	Source	$\int {\cal L} dt$	Ref. Channel	
	$\overline{172.99 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.78}$	ATLAS	4.6	[145] ℓ +jets+ $\ell\ell$	
(new)	$172.44 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.47$	CMS	19.7	[146] ℓ +jets+ $\ell\ell$ +All jets	
	$172.35 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.48$	CMS	19.7	[146] ℓ +jets	
'smallish'	$172.22 \pm 0.18 \substack{+0.89 \\ -0.93}$	CMS	19.7	$[152] \ \ell\ell$	
	$173.72 \pm 0.55 \pm 1.01$	ATLAS	20.2	[158] All jets	
	$172.25 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.62$	CMS	35.9	[159] ℓ +jets	
(old)	$174.30 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.54$	CDF,DØ (I+II)	≤ 9.7	[174] publ. or prelim.	$m_t(center) = 173.1$
	$173.34 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.71$	Tevatron+LHC	$\leq 8.7 + \leq 4.9$	[2] publ. or prelim.	
'largish'					



- Some of us say that "the Standard Model Higgs potential develops an instability at a scale of the order of 10¹¹ GeV"
- This statement is true only when you take 'the current central values of the Higgs and top masses'
- thus is premature since large uncertainties are involved

- we should take the 'measured top quark mass' with a lot of caution.
- Maybe our vacuum is stable after all with a reasonable chance
- The ILC350 can provide more definite answer... (A hadron machine does not help much)





[Hamada, Kawai, Oda, SCP, PRL 2014]

with RG effect

[Hamada, Kawai, Oda, SCP, PRL 2014] [Hamada, Kawai, Oda, SCP, PRD2015]



 $\xi \sim O(1-10)$ This is nice!







Citation: C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 40, 100001 (2016) and 2017 update



from Higgs inflation





Cosmological observation e.g. primordial gravitational wave

Precision particle physics experiments e.g. top quark mass



Cut off

We request $E \ll \Lambda_{cut-off}$

$$E_{inf} \sim V_E^{1/4} = \frac{\lambda^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\xi}} M_P$$

Q. what's cut-off scale?

bockground dependent CUP-OFF SCOLE

Cut-off depends on background $g_{\mu\nu} = \overline{g}_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}$ $\phi = \overline{\phi} + \delta \phi$

The normalized interaction term reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} \ni \frac{1}{\Lambda^J} (\delta \hat{\phi})^2 \partial^2 \hat{h}$$

thus the cutoff scale in Jordan frame:

$$\Lambda^{J}(\bar{\phi}) = \frac{M_{P}^{2} + \xi\bar{\phi}^{2} + 6\xi^{2}\bar{\phi}^{2}}{\xi\sqrt{M_{P}^{2} + \xi\bar{\phi}^{2}}} \xrightarrow{\Phi^{P}(\xi, \phi) \in \mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{M_{P}(\xi, \phi) \in \mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{M_{P}$$

[Bezrukov, Magnin, Shaposhnikov & Sibiryakov, 10']







-same dimension of the Einstein term -allowed by all symmetries of the SM

therefore you are not allowed to drop this term without a reason

Dim 4 for Ricci

Wait!

 $R \sim (\partial_{\rho} h_{\mu\nu})^2 / M^2$

Kinetic term of graviton Thus, NM term ~

 $\phi^2 (\partial_{\rho} h_{\mu\nu})^2$

Dim 6 for graviton dynamics

(sometimes, we should not forget other Dim 6 terms, too)



$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_P^2}{2} A(\varphi) \mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2} B(\varphi) g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\nu \varphi + A_\mu A_\nu \varphi^2) - V(\varphi) - C(\varphi) \overline{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi - \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}} D(\varphi) (\varphi \overline{\psi} \psi + \text{h.c.}) \right]$$

$$-C(\varphi) \psi \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} D(\varphi) \left(\varphi \psi \psi + \text{h.c.}\right)$$
$$-\frac{E(\varphi)}{4g_{A}^{2}} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \bigg],$$

$$A(\varphi) = 1 + a_2 \frac{\varphi^2}{M_P^2} + a_4 \frac{\varphi^4}{M_P^4} + \cdots, \qquad B(\varphi) = 1 + b_2 \frac{\varphi^2}{M_P^2} + b_4 \frac{\varphi^4}{M_P^4} + \cdots,$$
$$V(\varphi) = \frac{m^2}{2} \varphi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \varphi^4 + \left(\lambda_6 \frac{\varphi^6}{M_P^2} + \lambda_8 \frac{\varphi^8}{M_P^4} + \cdots\right).$$

[Hamada, Kawai, Oda, SCP, PRD2015]

"higher order terms"

$$egin{aligned} V(arphi) &= rac{m^2}{2}arphi^2 + rac{\lambda}{4}arphi^4 + \left(\lambda_6rac{arphi^6}{M_P^2} + \lambda_8rac{arphi^8}{M_P^4} + \cdots
ight) \ \mu_{\min} &= crac{M_p}{\sqrt{\xi}} \end{aligned}$$



[Hamada, Kawai, Oda, SCP, PRD2015]



J. M. Ezquiaga, J. Garcia-Bellido and E. Ruiz Morales, ``Primordial Black Hole production in Critical Higgs Inflation," PLB (776), 345 (2018)





- Higgs inflation idea is an economic, effective and consistent idea of inflation fitting DATA well.
- Precision measurements of M_t, M_H, self coupling are all very important.

Higgs-fir) duality

During the inflationary era, two theories effectively describe the same physics

$$S_{\mathrm{Starobinsky}} \leftrightarrow S_{\mathrm{Higgs}}$$

We can enlarge the map in theory space





[Gumrukcuoglu, Mukohyama, SCP (in preparation)]